Current State of the Art in Wildfire Risk Management for Mixed Ownership Forests like the Cobb Mt. Area

I. Introduction: The Challenge of Wildfire Management in a Complex Forest Landscape

The escalating threat of wildfires has become a defining environmental challenge in the United States, particularly in states like California, where record-breaking fire seasons have prompted serious public discussion on effective risk reduction.1 The increasing frequency, economic costs, and inherent dangers associated with these events underscore the urgent need for comprehensive and adaptive forest management strategies. This necessity is amplified in regions characterized by intricate land ownership patterns. The Cobb Mt. area, which includes 38,000 acres of forest situated within a high fire hazard zone, encompasses a diverse mix of public and private ownership with 70% of the land comprised of parcels ranging from 50 to 1000 acres. This presents a particularly complex landscape for wildfire risk mitigation.5 The juxtaposition of varying management objectives, regulatory frameworks, and operational capacities across different landowners creates a significant hurdle in implementing cohesive and effective wildfire management practices.

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of the art in addressing wildfire risk within such complex ecosystems. It will

- examine established best practices,
- explore innovative and experimental techniques,
- analyze strategies for fostering collaboration across ownership boundaries,
- address the specific challenges posed by varying parcel sizes,
- outline methods for implementing fuel reduction treatments,
- identify technologies for early wildfire detection, and

- discuss community engagement initiatives.

The paper will draw upon successful case studies from similar environments to provide actionable insights for the effective management of this challenging landscape. Historical and ongoing development in the wildland-urban interface (WUI) further complicates wildfire management by placing a greater number of people and properties at risk.2 This necessitates a multi-faceted approach that not only focuses on ecological management within the forest itself but also prioritizes the protection of communities and infrastructure within and adjacent to it.

II. Understanding Wildfire Behavior and Risk Factors in Mixed Ownership Ecosystems

Effective wildfire management hinges on a fundamental understanding of how wildfires ignite, spread, and behave. The initiation and sustainability of a fire are governed by the interplay of three elements: fuel, heat, and oxygen, often referred to as ***the fire triangle***.10 Once a fire ignites, its behavior, characterized by its rate of spread and intensity, is influenced by three primary factors: the amount and arrangement of available fuel, the topography of the land, and prevailing weather conditions, collectively known as ***the fire behavior triangle***.10 Understanding these fundamental principles is crucial for developing and implementing effective wildfire risk reduction strategies. In many forest ecosystems, such as the Cobb Mt. area,, historical fire suppression policies, implemented over the past century, have inadvertently led to a significant accumulation of hazardous fuels.1 This accumulation of dense undergrowth, dead wood, and excessive vegetation has created a condition often referred to as a "fire deficit".20 The absence of frequent, low-intensity fires, which historically played a vital role in maintaining forest health by clearing underbrush and reducing fuel loads, has resulted in overgrown and unhealthy forests that are now more susceptible to large, intense, and destructive wildfires.13 The exclusion of fire has also altered the natural forest structure and species composition,

often favoring the growth of fire-susceptible species over more resilient ones.22 The diverse patterns of land ownership within the 38,000-acre Cobb Mt. Area forest further complicate wildfire management efforts. Public lands, managed by agencies with mandates for conservation and recreation, may have different forest structures and fuel loads compared to private lands, where management objectives often include timber production.6 This mosaic of ownerships and associated management histories leads to heterogeneity in fuel loads and fire behavior across the landscape, necessitating tailored management approaches that consider the specific ecological conditions and management history of each parcel.6 A landscape-level wildfire management strategy must account for these differences to be truly effective. Finally, the overarching influence of climate change is a critical factor exacerbating wildfire ris.2 Warmer temperatures, longer periods of drought, and increased fuel aridity contribute to conditions that are more conducive to wildfire ignition and rapid spread.2 The changing climate amplifies the need for proactive and resilient forest management practices that can withstand more extreme fire weather conditions, including the promotion of drought-tolerant species and the reduction of overall fuel loads to mitigate the increased flammability of the forest.

III. Current Best Practices for Wildfire Risk Reduction in California's High Fire Hazard Zones

In California several best practices have been established, particularly in high fire hazard zones. These practices aim to reduce the likelihood of ignition, slow the spread of fire, and protect structures.

* *Defensible Space and Home Hardening*: A cornerstone of wildfire safety in California is the concept of defensible space, which involves creating a buffer zone around structures where vegetation and other flammable materials are managed to reduce the intensity of an approaching wildfire and improve the chances of a home surviving.31 CAL FIRE guidelines divide this buffer zone into three distinct areas, each

with specific management requirements.31 (see appendix A)

* *Fire-Smart Landscaping Principles*: Developing a fire-smart landscape goes beyond basic yard maintenance and involves selecting and strategically placing fire-resistant plants that are well-suited to California's dry climate.40 Key considerations in plant selection include their moisture content, with plants that retain moisture being less flammable, and their flammability based on the presence of waxes, oils, and resins, with plants high in these substances being more prone to ignition.40 The growth structure of plants also matters, with open-growth plants generally posing a lower fire risk than dense ones.40 Fast-growing plants require more frequent maintenance and spacing, and knowing the mature height of plants helps ensure they fit within a fire safety plan.40 Regular maintenance is crucial in fire-smart landscaping, including pruning to create an open structure and manage plant height, removing dead leaves and branches, and managing thatch, the layer of dead material that can build up under the green surface of some plants.40 Vertical clearance between the ground and lower branches of trees, typically 6-10 feet, is important to prevent ground fires from spreading into the canopy.40 The use of non-combustible mulches, such as gravel or rock, around the home's foundation is recommended to reduce the risk of ember ignition, and drip irrigation can help keep plants healthy and less flammable.40 Combustible mulches like bark should be avoided near the home.40 Strategically placing fire-safe plants involves avoiding direct contact with the home's siding, windows, eaves, vents, or decks, and keeping the area within 0-5 feet of structures clear of combustible plants and materials.40

* *Ecological Forest Restoration:* Thinning and Prescribed Burning: Ecological forest restoration is a proven technique for enhancing the resilience of fire-adapted forests to severe wildfires and other climate-related threats.1 This approach often involves a combination of two primary methods: ecological thinning and controlled burns, also

known as prescribed fires.1 Ecological thinning is the strategic removal of smaller and unhealthy trees to reduce the density of the forest stand.1 This process decreases competition for limited resources like soil moisture, which in turn strengthens the remaining larger, healthier, and more fire-resistant trees, making them less susceptible to insect outbreaks and drought.13 Ecological thinning differs from industrial logging by focusing on removing only the smaller trees that contribute to fire risk while protecting older, more established trees.13 The second key component is controlled burns (prescribed fires), which involve intentionally igniting fires under specific, safe conditions to reduce the accumulation of flammable undergrowth and other surface fuels.1 These fires are carefully planned and managed by trained professionals, taking into account factors like weather conditions, fuel moisture levels, and the timing of the burn to ensure they remain within the designated area and achieve their intended objectives.13 Prescribed burning mimics the natural fire regimes that many California forests evolved with, where frequent, low-severity fires helped to maintain forest health by clearing brush and spacing out trees.13 Applying these ecological forest restoration practices on a landscape scale, across multiple ownerships, is considered crucial for achieving significant reductions in wildfire risk and promoting overall forest health.13 The Nature Conservancy's French Meadows project serves as an example of a large-scale restoration effort that combines ecological thinning with controlled burns.13

IV. Exploring Innovative and Experimental Techniques for Enhanced Wildfire Mitigation

Beyond the established best practices, researchers and forest managers are continually exploring innovative and experimental techniques to further enhance wildfire mitigation efforts, particularly in the face of changing climate conditions and increasingly complex forest landscapes.

* *Advanced Fuel Reduction Strategies*: Creating and maintaining fire-resistant forests

involves a set of fundamental principles that go beyond simply removing fuel. These principles include the reduction of surface fuels, which limits the potential flame length and makes fires easier to control; increasing the height to the live crown by removing ladder fuels and pruning lower branches, which reduces the likelihood of a ground fire escalating into a crown fire; decreasing crown density through thinning, which makes tree-to-tree crown fire spread less probable; and retaining large trees of fire-resistant species, which are more likely to survive a fire and help maintain forest structure.10 In terms of thinning techniques, low thinning, which focuses on removing smaller trees from the lower canopy levels, is often considered more effective for fuel reduction compared to other thinning methods.20 Prescribed grazing, the carefully managed use of livestock to consume fine fuels like grasses and understory vegetation, is emerging as a cost-effective and ecologically sound tool for fuel management in certain ecosystems, particularly grasslands and open woodlands.34 Implementing heterogeneous landscape treatments, which involve creating a mosaic of different fuel conditions across the landscape, can also be an effective strategy for disrupting the continuity of fuels and reducing the rate and intensity of fire spread.44 Furthermore, the strategic placement of fuel breaks, which are areas where vegetation has been significantly reduced or removed, can serve as critical barriers to slow or stop the progression of wildfires and provide safer locations for firefighting personnel to engage.28 These fuel breaks are often located along existing features like roads or ridgelines.46

* *The Role of Dead Wood Management*: Recognizing that dead and downed wood contributes significantly to fuel loads, researchers are exploring the potential of physically harvesting this material as a method for reducing wildfire risks and even mitigating carbon emissions.21 Studies suggest that combining the physical removal of dead and downed branches and trees with traditional thinning practices can significantly reduce the risk of severe wildfire behaviors such as high tree mortality and crown fires.21 This approach offers a potential alternative to prescribed burning in

certain situations and can also lead to lower carbon emissions, especially if the harvested dead wood is converted into long-term carbon storage products like biochar, which is created by heating organic material in a low-oxygen environment.21 Biochar not only sequesters carbon but can also improve soil health.21 While the physical harvesting of dead wood without combustion is being explored as a promising technique, further long-term research is needed to fully evaluate its effectiveness across various forest types and under different environmental conditions.

* *Long-Term Impacts of Forest Management Techniques:* A comprehensive 20-year study conducted at the University of California, Berkeley's Blodgett Forest Research Station has provided valuable long-term insights into the effectiveness of different forest management techniques in enhancing wildfire resilience.22 The study compared the impacts of prescribed burning, restoration thinning (the selective removal of smaller trees), and a combination of both on forest health and wildfire risk reduction.22 The findings indicated that all three experimental treatments – prescribed burning alone, thinning alone, and a combination of thinning followed by burning – resulted in forests that were significantly more resilient to wildfire compared to untreated control plots.49 Notably, the plots that received a combination of thinning and burning showed the best overall results, exhibiting the highest likelihood of tree survival and the lowest levels of competition among trees, suggesting greater resilience to future climate stressors.49 Furthermore, the study highlighted that the revenue generated from the timber harvested during the thinning process can help offset the costs associated with forest management activities, making these treatments more economically sustainable.49 The research underscores the importance of consistent and active forest management over an extended period, typically 15 to 20 years, followed by regular maintenance, to achieve and maintain a forest structure that is truly fire-resilient.22

V. Fostering Collaboration and Coordination Across Public and Private Land

Ownerships

The effective management of wildfire risk in a landscape with mixed public and private ownership necessitates robust collaboration and coordination among the various landowners and management entities. Several models and strategies exist to facilitate such partnerships.

* *Models of Public-Private Partnerships in Forest Management*: The U.S. Forest Service (USFS) has established a Public-Private Partnership Strategy with the goal of expanding the number, breadth, and scope of strategic partnerships to support the health and resilience of national forests and grasslands.50 This strategy focuses on key focal areas such as water, healthy forests, youth engagement, and recreation, aiming to leverage the resources and expertise of both the public and private sectors to achieve sustainable solutions to current and future resource challenges.50 Minnesota's Private Forestry Management (PFM) program offers another successful model of public-private collaboration.51 This partnership, involving the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (DNR), the Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR), the USFS, local governments, and consulting foresters, works to manage Minnesota's private forestland for conservation, habitat, and economic benefits.51 The program utilizes tools like Landscape Stewardship Planning and Woodland Stewardship Plans to guide private forest management and connect landowners with financial and technical assistance.51 In Nevada, the Public-Private Collaboration in the Middle Truckee River Watershed demonstrates a commitment to increasing the pace and scale of forest management work to reduce wildfire risks and improve the overall health of the ecosystem.52 This partnership brings together the USFS, the National Forest Foundation, The Nature Conservancy, the Truckee Meadows Water Authority, and the Truckee River Watershed Council.52 More broadly, Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) are increasingly recognized as a valuable tool for ensuring the sustainable development of the forestry sector.53 These partnerships involve medium- to long-term relationships between public organizations and private

companies, where risks and rewards are often shared to achieve common goals in forest management and conservation.54

* *Strategies for Effective Cross-Boundary Collaboration*: Addressing wildfire risk effectively in mixed ownership landscapes often requires adopting an "all-lands" approach to forest management.39 This approach emphasizes the need for cooperation and coordination among all landowners, including federal, state, local, tribal, and private entities, as wildfires do not recognize property boundaries.60 Collaborative initiatives such as the Collaborative Forest Landscape Restoration Program (CFLRP) and the Joint Chiefs' Landscape Restoration Partnership provide frameworks and funding mechanisms for implementing large-scale restoration projects across multiple ownerships, with the aim of reducing wildfire risk and improving forest health.3 Building trust and transparency among all stakeholders is crucial for fostering successful cross-boundary collaboration.1 Identifying shared objectives and mutual benefits can help to overcome potential conflicts and encourage collective action.1 Effective communication, regular engagement, and the establishment of clear roles and responsibilities are also essential components of successful collaboration across diverse ownerships.
* The Importance of Shared Stewardship Agreements: Shared Stewardship Agreements, such as the agreement between the State of California and the USDA Forest Service, represent a significant step towards improving forest health and reducing wildfire risk across the state's diverse landscapes.3 These agreements encourage federal partnerships with state, tribal, and other collaborative partners to jointly prioritize landscapes for treatment and to develop and implement strategies for wildfire risk reduction.62 Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs) can also play a vital role in facilitating coordination between public and private entities. For example, an MOU between the National Alliance of Forest Owners (NAFO) and the U.S. Forest Service aims to enhance coordination in the planning, construction, and maintenance of fuel breaks that extend across both private and National Forest System lands.47

Such agreements provide a formal framework for cooperation, outlining shared goals and establishing mechanisms for resource sharing and coordinated action in addressing the wildfire crisis.

VI. Tailoring Forest Management Strategies to Address Varying Parcel Sizes

The 38,000-acre forest area in question is characterized by a range of parcel sizes, from 50 to 1000 acres, owned by a mix of public and private entities. This variation presents unique challenges and requires tailored forest management strategies to effectively mitigate wildfire risk.

* *Challenges and Considerations for Small Private Forest Parcels*: Small private forest parcels, often owned by families or individuals, constitute a significant portion of forested land in many regions, including California.10 These smaller holdings, typically less than 15 acres on average, often face unique barriers to implementing effective forest management practices aimed at wildfire risk reduction.67 One significant challenge is the high per-acre cost associated with management activities such as mechanical thinning or prescribed burning, which can be economically prohibitive for owners of small parcels.67 Additionally, navigating the environmental permitting processes required for fuel reduction projects can be complex and time-consuming, particularly for landowners who may lack the technical expertise or resources to navigate these regulations.1 Limited access to wood products markets to offset the costs of fuel removal is another major hurdle for small private forest owners.67 The clustering of these smaller parcels, often located near developed areas within the wildland-urban interface (WUI), presents a dual challenge: increased risk of fire ignition due to human activity and reluctance from owners to implement controlled burns or mechanical thinning in close proximity to homes and communities.67 Despite these challenges, many private forest owners are aware of the increasing wildfire risk in their areas, but they may be constrained by the financial and technical limitations of managing their land for fire safety.60 Notably, research suggests that private forest

owners who reside on their property are significantly more likely to undertake fuel reduction measures compared to those who live elsewhere.60

* *Implementing Landscape-Scale Management Approaches*: Given that wildfires can burn across vast areas and do not recognize property boundaries, effective wildfire risk reduction necessitates the implementation of forest management strategies at a landscape scale.3 This requires coordinated and consistent fuel management practices across the entire 38,000-acre area, regardless of individual parcel size or ownership.45 Adopting an "all-lands" approach, which promotes cooperation and collaboration among all landowners and management entities, is crucial for achieving landscape-level wildfire resilience.39 Collective action, where interdependent landowners organize and coordinate their forest management activities, can lead to improved outcomes in terms of wildfire risk reduction across the entire landscape.39 Tools such as Potential Wildfire Operations Delineations (PODs) offer a framework for spatially separating fire management strategies in mixed-ownership landscapes, allowing for more targeted and effective allocation of resources and management efforts.24

* *The Significance of Parcel-Level Wildfire Risk Assessment*: While landscape-scale management is essential for addressing the broader ecological and fire behavior aspects of wildfire risk, understanding and assessing wildfire risk at the individual parcel level is also critically important, especially in a mixed ownership setting with varying parcel sizes.76 Parcel-level risk (PLR) assessment focuses on identifying specific characteristics of a property that influence its vulnerability to wildfire, including factors such as the amount and type of fuel near structures, the extent of defensible space, the accessibility of the property for firefighters and emergency equipment, and the structural characteristics of buildings.76 This approach recognizes that wildfire risk can vary significantly even between neighboring properties within the same community.76 Research indicates that PLR is directly

related to the likelihood of a home being destroyed in a wildfire, and there is also evidence of risk spillovers, meaning that the condition of one property can influence the risk to adjacent properties.76 Therefore, conducting parcel-level wildfire risk assessments can help to identify specific vulnerabilities within the 38,000-acre area and guide individual landowners in implementing targeted mitigation measures, such as creating adequate defensible space and hardening their homes against ember intrusion.36 Addressing wildfire risk at the individual parcel level contributes not only to the safety of that specific property but also enhances the overall resilience of the community and the broader forest landscape.

VII. Implementing Effective Fuel Reduction Treatments in Mixed Ownership Landscapes

Implementing effective fuel reduction treatments across a 38,000-acre forest with mixed ownership requires careful planning, coordination, and consideration of the specific challenges associated with diverse land management objectives and regulatory requirements.

* *Planning and Executing Prescribed Burns*: Coordination and Best Practices: Prescribed burning, the intentional application of fire to the landscape under specific conditions, is a valuable tool for reducing hazardous fuel loads and promoting forest health.78 Successful prescribed burns require meticulous planning, including the development of a comprehensive burn plan that clearly outlines the objectives of the burn, provides detailed site information, specifies the necessary resources and equipment, defines acceptable weather parameters (wind speed and direction, temperature, relative humidity), establishes safety protocols, and includes a plan for managing smoke.78 Given the mixed ownership nature of the forest, coordination among all landowners is paramount to ensure that prescribed burns are conducted strategically and safely across the landscape.79 This includes notifying neighboring

landowners, local fire departments, and relevant regulatory agencies well in advance of any planned burns.86 Prescribed Burn Associations (PBAs) can play a crucial role in facilitating coordination among private landowners by providing a platform for sharing knowledge, resources, and labor, often through neighbor-helping-neighbor arrangements.83 Addressing concerns related to liability associated with prescribed burning on private lands is also essential for encouraging its wider adoption as a management tool.79 Some states have taken steps to clarify liability standards for landowners who have received proper training and certification and conduct prescribed burns in a diligent manner.79

* *Mechanical Thinning and Other Fuel Management Techniques:* Mechanical thinning involves the physical removal of trees and other vegetation using machinery to reduce the density of the forest and create more space between tree crowns, thereby reducing the risk of crown fires.1 Techniques such as thinning from below (removing smaller, suppressed trees), mastication (using specialized machinery to chip or grind vegetation), and the creation of fuel breaks (areas where vegetation is significantly reduced or removed) are commonly employed.28 Prescribed grazing, which utilizes livestock to consume understory vegetation, can also be an effective and cost-efficient fuel management tool in certain forest types and grasslands.34 The selection of the most appropriate fuel reduction techniques should take into account the specific characteristics of the forest, including the type and density of vegetation, the terrain, the proximity to structures, and the overall management objectives of the landowners.1 In many cases, a combination of different fuel reduction methods may be the most effective approach to achieving desired outcomes.41

* *Addressing the Challenges of Implementation Across Diverse Ownerships:* Implementing fuel reduction treatments across a mixed ownership landscape presents several challenges.7 One significant hurdle is navigating the often complex and time-consuming permitting and regulatory processes associated with forest

management activities, particularly on private lands in California.1 These processes can be particularly burdensome for owners of small parcels who may lack the resources or expertise to navigate them effectively.1 Financial constraints also pose a significant challenge, as the cost of implementing fuel reduction treatments, especially mechanical thinning, can be substantial, and the removed fuels often have limited commercial value.46 Accessing state and federal incentive programs that provide cost-share funding can help to alleviate some of these financial burdens.46 Coordinating fuel reduction efforts across the diverse ownerships within the 38,000-acre area can be challenging due to differing landowner objectives, management priorities, and timelines for action.7 Building trust, fostering open communication, and establishing clear mechanisms for collaboration among all stakeholders are essential for overcoming these challenges and achieving effective landscape-level fuel management.1

VIII. Leveraging Technology for Early Wildfire Detection and Rapid Response in Extensive Forest Areas

In the management of large forest areas, especially those with high fire hazard, technology plays an increasingly vital role in early wildfire detection and enabling rapid response efforts.

* *Satellite-Based Monitoring Systems*: Satellites equipped with advanced sensors provide a powerful tool for detecting and monitoring wildfires across vast landscapes.4 These systems utilize thermal infrared cameras and high-resolution optical sensors to identify heat signatures and smoke plumes indicative of fire.118 By analyzing the data collected from space, these systems can provide near real-time information on the location, size, and behavior of wildfires, which is crucial for enabling a timely and effective response.103 Several organizations and companies are at the forefront of satellite-based wildfire detection. For example, OroraTech operates a constellation of small satellites in low Earth orbit that capture thermal images,

allowing for rapid detection of hotspots, even at night when traditional aerial methods are less effective.118 NOAA's Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES-R) series also plays a critical role by frequently detecting wildfires before they are even spotted on the ground or reported.120 These satellites can pinpoint the exact location of a fire and track its progression in real time.120 Furthermore, satellite data is invaluable for monitoring smoke plumes, which can impact air quality and visibility, and this information can help guide firefighting efforts, especially in directing aerial resources.120

* *Sensor Networks and AI-Powered Detection*: Another promising technological approach involves the deployment of dense networks of small, low-cost sensors throughout the forest.4 These sensors are designed to detect the early signs of a wildfire by "sniffing" for specific gases, such as carbon monoxide and hydrogen, as well as tiny solid particles in the air that are indicative of combustion.4 These sensors can be significantly more sensitive than traditional home smoke alarms, allowing for detection at the very early, smoldering stages of a fire.4 The vast amounts of data collected by these sensor networks are often analyzed using artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms.4 AI helps to establish a baseline of normal environmental conditions for a given location and then identifies anomalies or spikes in gas and particulate levels that may indicate a fire ignition.4 This AI-powered analysis is crucial for reducing the occurrence of false alarms and improving the overall accuracy of wildfire detection.4 Companies like Dryad Networks are developing and deploying large-scale IoT (Internet of Things) networks based on LoRaWAN technology, which enables long-range wireless communication with their sensors, making it suitable for covering extensive forest areas.121

* *Camera Systems and Real-Time Surveillance:* The deployment of networks of strategically positioned cameras offers another valuable layer of technology for wildfire early detection and monitoring.118 These camera systems, often mounted on

high vantage points such as towers or hilltops, provide continuous, 24/7 visual surveillance of large areas of forest.121 Many of these systems utilize ultra-high-definition cameras with pan-tilt-zoom capabilities, allowing for remote observation and assessment of potential fire threats.121 To enhance their effectiveness, these camera systems are often integrated with artificial intelligence (AI) and deep learning algorithms.121 The AI software is trained to automatically detect, verify, and classify wildfire events in real time by analyzing the video feeds for the presence of smoke plumes or flames.121 Examples of organizations utilizing such systems include ALERTCalifornia, which operates a network of over 1,100 cameras across the state 118, and Pano AI, which uses AI-powered cameras and satellite data for rapid wildfire detection and information dissemination.121 These systems provide real-time imagery and alerts to fire monitoring professionals and first responders, enabling faster confirmation of fire events and improved situational awareness, which is critical for effective response and containment efforts.121 Some advanced camera systems also incorporate thermal imaging capabilities, allowing them to detect heat signatures even in conditions of low visibility due to darkness, fog, or smoke.121

IX. Empowering Communities: Engaging and Educating Private Landowners on Fire-Safe Practices

Effective wildfire risk management in a mixed ownership forest requires not only addressing the ecological aspects but also actively engaging and educating the private landowners who control a significant portion of the land. Empowering these landowners to adopt fire-safe practices is crucial for building community-wide resilience.

* *The Role of Fire Safe Councils and Community Organizations:* Fire Safe Councils (FSCs) are local, grassroots organizations that play a vital role in community wildfire preparedness.32 These councils bring together a diverse range of stakeholders,

including residents, fire departments, local government agencies, and environmental groups, to collaborate on wildfire prevention and loss mitigation efforts.88 FSCs often develop community wildfire protection plans, implement fire prevention projects such as fuel reduction and defensible space initiatives, and work to secure grant funding to support their activities.88 The Firewise USA program, led by the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), provides a national framework that communities can follow to enhance their resilience to wildfires.18 By meeting specific criteria and engaging residents in risk reduction activities, communities can achieve official Firewise recognition, which can also help in organizing and implementing wildfire safety actions.88 Resource Conservation Districts (RCDs) are another important type of community organization in California.88 These special districts can implement conservation projects on both public and private lands, and many RCDs have expertise in forestry and fuels reduction, making them valuable partners in assisting landowners with wildfire preparedness efforts.88

* *Educational Programs and Resources for Landowners:* Providing private forest landowners with access to relevant educational programs and resources is essential for encouraging the adoption of fire-safe practices.34 The California Forest Stewardship Program offers technical and financial assistance to private forest landowners to promote sound forest management, including practices that reduce wildfire risk.34 The UC ANR Fire Network serves as a comprehensive resource, offering a wide range of educational materials, workshops, and online tools to help landowners understand wildfire risks and take appropriate preparedness measures.88 CAL FIRE also plays a significant role in providing wildfire prevention education through various programs and resources, including information on creating defensible space, the safe use of prescribed fire, and vegetation management techniques.139 Programs like the California Tree School, offered by UC ANR, provide continuing forestry education to a broader audience of landowners and community members interested in forest health and wildfire resilience.141

* *Incentives and Assistance Programs for Wildfire Mitigation:* To further encourage private landowners to implement wildfire risk reduction measures, various incentive and assistance programs are available.43 Financial assistance programs such as the California Forest Improvement Program (CFIP) and the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) provide cost-share funding to eligible private forest landowners for implementing forest management practices that enhance forest health and reduce wildfire risk.43 In some states, like Colorado, tax deductions and credits are offered to landowners who undertake wildfire mitigation efforts on their properties, providing a direct financial incentive.147 Innovative insurance models are also emerging, such as pilot projects in California that offer reduced insurance premiums and deductibles to homeowners associations and other landowners who proactively implement wildfire prevention practices, like ecological forestry management.35 Additionally, the Community Wildfire Defense Grant (CWDG) program provides funding to communities and organizations at risk from wildfire to develop or revise community wildfire protection plans and to carry out mitigation projects identified in those plans.137

X. Learning from Success: Case Studies in Large-Scale Wildfire Mitigation in the United States

Examining successful case studies of large-scale forest management projects aimed at wildfire mitigation in the United States can provide valuable insights and transferable lessons for managing the 38,000-acre forest area with its mixed ownership and varying parcel sizes.

* *In-depth Analysis of Relevant Projects and Initiatives*: Several projects and initiatives across the U.S. offer valuable examples of successful wildfire mitigation in complex forest landscapes. The Nature Conservancy's French Meadows project in California serves as a prominent example of large-scale ecological forest restoration, combining

strategic thinning with prescribed burning to significantly reduce the severity of subsequent wildfires.13 This project involved collaborative management and secured funding from a variety of federal, state, local, and private sources.13 Studies conducted in the Lake Tahoe Basin, which also features a mix of public and private lands, have demonstrated that more aggressive and extensive fuel treatment activities, including mechanical thinning and prescribed fire, can lead to a substantial reduction in the probability of fire and the risk of property loss.9 Boulder County's Wildfire Partners Program in Colorado offers a successful model for community-based wildfire mitigation, providing comprehensive, hands-on assistance to residents through home assessments, community outreach, and local grant-making.150 In Utah, the Bureau of Land Management Color Country and Paria River Fuels Team has achieved significant wildfire mitigation outcomes by prioritizing and planning projects in collaboration with local government partners and non-governmental organizations.150 The North Warner Landscape project in Oregon provides an example of effective cross-boundary forest restoration across a landscape with diverse ownerships, including USFS land and private forestland, through active collaboration and engagement with private landowners.66 Finally, the Rogue River Basin case study in Oregon highlights the importance of decentralized, community-driven approaches, emphasizing reciprocity and the integration of local and Indigenous knowledge systems to enhance resilience to wildfire in a socially and ecologically diverse landscape.151

* *Highlighting Key Strategies and Outcomes:* A review of these successful case studies reveals several key strategies that contribute to effective wildfire mitigation in complex forest landscapes. These include the implementation of landscape-level fuel reduction treatments that extend across property boundaries, strong emphasis on collaboration and partnership among all relevant landowners, agencies, and community organizations, active engagement and education of private landowners to promote the adoption of fire-safe practices, and the strategic and adaptive use of

both prescribed fire and mechanical thinning techniques tailored to the specific ecological conditions and management objectives of the area. The outcomes of these successful projects often include a significant reduction in the severity and intensity of wildfires, an increase in the overall resilience of forest ecosystems to fire and other disturbances, and a demonstrable decrease in the risk of property loss and negative impacts on communities.

* *Transferable Lessons for the Target Forest Area*: The experiences and outcomes of these case studies offer several valuable lessons that can be applied to the 38,000-acre forest area. It is crucial to prioritize the development and implementation of a landscape-level wildfire management plan that considers the entire area, transcending individual property lines. Fostering strong partnerships and collaborative relationships among all public and private landowners, as well as with relevant state and local agencies and community organizations, will be essential for success. Investing in comprehensive community engagement and education programs aimed at empowering private landowners to take proactive steps in wildfire preparedness and mitigation is also critical. The management strategy should incorporate a combination of fuel reduction techniques, including ecological thinning and prescribed burning, carefully tailored to the specific ecological conditions, forest types, and management objectives across the diverse ownerships and parcel sizes within the area. Finally, it is important to learn from the successes and challenges of other large-scale projects by adopting best practices in planning, coordination, long-term monitoring, and adaptive management to ensure the sustained effectiveness of wildfire risk reduction efforts.

XI. Policy Recommendations and Strategic Directions for Enhanced Wildfire Resilience

To enhance wildfire resilience in the 38,000-acre forest area with its mixed ownership and varying parcel sizes, the following policy recommendations and strategic

directions are suggested:

* *Develop a comprehensive, landscape-level wildfire management plan* that integrates the needs and objectives of all public and private landowners within the area. This plan should identify priority areas for fuel reduction treatments and establish clear protocols for cross-boundary coordination, potentially utilizing a framework like Potential Wildfire Operations Delineations (PODs) to spatially define management strategies.24

* *Strengthen our community-based organizations* to serve as a central hub for coordinating wildfire preparedness and mitigation efforts across the diverse ownerships. This council can facilitate communication, organize educational events, and help secure funding for local initiatives.88

* *Implement targeted outreach and education programs* specifically designed for private landowners within the forest area. These programs should emphasize the importance of creating and maintaining adequate defensible space, hardening homes against ember intrusion, and adopting fire-smart landscaping practices. Provide accessible resources, technical assistance, and potentially even hands-on workshops to support these efforts.88

* *Actively promote and facilitate access to existing financial assistance programs*, such as the California Forest Improvement Program (CFIP) and the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP), to help private landowners offset the costs of implementing fuel reduction projects on their properties.48 Explore opportunities to streamline the application processes for these programs to make them more accessible to small landowners.

* *Support our local Prescribed Burn Association* to support the safe and effective use

of prescribed fire as a land management tool. PBAs can provide training, share equipment, and facilitate cooperation among landowners interested in conducting controlled burns on their properties.83

* *Conduct a thorough assessment of the forest area* to determine the most appropriate and cost-effective early wildfire detection technologies to implement. This may include a combination of satellite monitoring, ground-based sensor networks, and strategically placed camera systems, tailored to the specific environmental conditions and coverage needs of the area.4

* *Work with state and local agencies to review and, where possible, streamline the permitting processes* for ecological thinning and prescribed burning projects on private lands. Explore options for creating programmatic permits or exemptions that can expedite the implementation of beneficial fuel reduction treatments while ensuring appropriate environmental safeguards are maintained.46

* *Actively foster the development of shared stewardship agreements* between public and private landowners within the forest area. These agreements can provide a formal framework for collaboration, outlining shared goals, defining roles and responsibilities, and establishing mechanisms for coordinating forest management and wildfire risk reduction efforts across property boundaries.3

XII. Conclusion: Towards a Comprehensive and Collaborative Approach to Wildfire Risk Management

Managing the risk of wildfire in a 38,000-acre forest characterized by a complex mosaic of public and private ownership, varying parcel sizes, and a high fire hazard designation presents a multifaceted challenge. Addressing this challenge effectively requires a paradigm shift towards a comprehensive and collaborative approach that integrates ecological principles, technological innovation, community engagement, and robust cross-boundary coordination. The increasing frequency and intensity of

wildfires underscore the urgency of proactive forest management strategies that go beyond traditional suppression efforts. By embracing best practices in defensible space and fire-smart landscaping at the individual property level, coupled with landscape-scale ecological forest restoration through strategic thinning and prescribed burning, the overall resilience of the forest ecosystem can be significantly enhanced. Leveraging cutting-edge technologies for early wildfire detection and rapid response will provide critical time for effective intervention, minimizing the potential for catastrophic losses. However, the success of these efforts hinges on the ability to foster strong partnerships and ensure seamless coordination among all landowners, regardless of their ownership type or parcel size. Empowering private landowners through education, technical assistance, and financial incentives is paramount to achieving widespread adoption of fire-safe practices. Learning from the experiences and outcomes of successful wildfire mitigation projects in similar environments across the United States offers valuable guidance and transferable lessons. Ultimately, building long-term wildfire resilience in this complex landscape will require a sustained commitment to proactive management, continuous monitoring, adaptive strategies, and a shared vision among all stakeholders to protect this valuable ecosystem and the communities it supports from the devastating impacts of wildfire.

APPENDIX A - Home Hardening Zones

- Zone 0, also known as the Ember-resistant Zone, extends 0-5 feet from the home and is considered the most critical area for protection against ember attacks, a primary cause of home ignitions during wildfires.31 In this zone, the use of non-combustible materials like gravel, pavers, or concrete is recommended, and all dead and dying plants, weeds, and debris must be removed from roofs, gutters, decks, and under the home.31
- Zone 1, the Lean, Clean, and Green Zone, extends up to 30 feet from the home and focuses on regularly clearing dead or dry vegetation and creating space

between trees and shrubs.31 Trees should be trimmed to maintain a minimum of 10 feet between branches, and proper vertical and horizontal spacing between vegetation is essential to prevent fire from spreading to the tree crowns.31 Vertical spacing should ensure at least six feet between the lowest tree branches and the ground, and a clearance of at least three times the height of any shrubs between the shrubs and the lowest tree branches.31

- Zone 2, the Reduced Fuel Zone, extends from 30 feet out to 100 feet from the home or to the property line, whichever is closer, and involves further reducing potential fuel.31 This includes cutting or mowing annual grass to a maximum height of four inches, creating horizontal space between shrubs and trees (with spacing dependent on slope, ranging from two times the shrub height on flat slopes to six times on steep slopes), and removing fallen leaves, needles, and small branches.31 Wood piles should have at least 10 feet of clearance to bare mineral soil.31 It's important to note that local ordinances may have stricter defensible space requirements than the state's minimum standards, and property owners should consult their local fire department for specific regulations.31 Complementary to defensible space is home hardening, which involves modifying the structure itself to make it more resistant to ignition from embers, flames, and radiant heat.16 This includes using Class-A fire-rated roofing materials, enclosing eaves to prevent ember entry, installing fire-resistant vents, and using multi-pane windows.33 Noncombustible materials should be used for any construction within five feet of the building, including fences and gates.35

Works cited

1. Managing Forests to Reduce Wildfire Risks - Public Policy Institute of California, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.ppic.org/blog/managing-forests-to-reduce-wildfire-risks/

2. Frequently Asked Questions About Wildfires in California, accessed April 30, 2025, https://lao.ca.gov/Publications/Report/4952
3. California's Wildfire and Forest Resilience Action Plan, accessed April 30, 2025, https://wildfiretaskforce.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/californiawildfireandforestresilienceactionplan.pdf
4. Technology to Reduce the Impacts of Wildfires - Homeland Security, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.dhs.gov/group/13025/technology-reduce-impacts-wildfires
5. Managing Forests across Social and Ecological Boundaries: Collaboration among Multiple Landowners in the Context of Wildfire Risk, accessed April 30, 2025, https://seas.umich.edu/research-impact/student-research/masters-projects/managing-forests-across-social-and-ecological
6. Site occupancy and reproductive dynamics of California spotted owls in a mixed-ownership landscape - Sierra Pacific Industries, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.spi-ind.com/pdf_wildlife/Site%20occupancy%20and%20reproductive%20dynamics%20of%20California%20spotted%20owls%20in%20a%20mixed-ownership%20landscape_30.pdf
7. Risk and Cooperation: Managing Hazardous Fuel in Mixed Ownership Landscapes - PMC, accessed April 30, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3350635/
8. (PDF) On the Interplay of Ownership Patterns, Biodiversity, and Conservation in Past and Present Temperate Forest Landscapes of Europe and North America - ResearchGate, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356605057_On_the_Interplay_of_Ownership_Patterns_Biodiversity_and_Conservation_in_Past_and_Present_Temperate_Forest_Landscapes_of_Europe_and_North_America
9. Modeling the Risk Reduction Benefit of Forest Management Using a Case Study in the Lake Tahoe Basin - Ecology & Society, accessed April 30, 2025, https://ecologyandsociety.org/vol27/iss2/art18/

10. Reducing Fire Risk on Your Forest Property, accessed April 30, 2025, https://knowyourforest.org/sites/default/files/documents/Reducing_Fire_Risk_full.pdf
11. A Land Manager's Guide for Creating Fire-Resistant Forests | OSU Extension Service, accessed April 30, 2025, https://extension.oregonstate.edu/catalog/pub/em-9087-land-managers-guide-creating-fire-resistant-forests
12. A Land Manager's Guide for Creating Fire-Resistant Forests, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.nwfirescience.org/sites/default/files/publications/A%20Land%20Managers%20Guide%20for%20Creating%20Fire-resistant%20Forests%20.pdf
13. Stopping Megafires in California | The Nature Conservancy, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.nature.org/en-us/about-us/where-we-work/united-states/california/stories-in-california/californias-wildfire-future/
14. bof.fire.ca.gov, accessed April 30, 2025, https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/10078/full-14-c-stephensc-et-al-land-ownership-impacts-post-wildfire-forest-regeneration-in-sierra-nevada.pdf
15. How risk management can prevent future wildfire disasters in the wildland-urban interface, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.1315088111
16. Expert Perspective: Wildland Fuels Management Would Not Have Saved Us from the January 2025 LA Fires, accessed April 30, 2025, https://sustainablela.ucla.edu/fuels-management-jan-2025
17. Managed Fires Can Help Mitigate the Risks Posed by Increasing Frequency of Wildfires, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.resources.org/common-resources/managed-fires-can-help-mitigate-the-risks-posed-by-increasing-frequency-of-wildfires/
18. Can 'active forest management' really reduce wildfire risk? - Montana Free Press,

accessed April 30, 2025, https://montanafreepress.org/2021/08/27/can-active-forest-management-reduce-wildfire-risk/

19. Missing the Mark: Effectiveness and Funding in Community Wildfire Risk Reduction - Headwaters Economics, accessed April 30, 2025, https://headwaterseconomics.org/wp-content/uploads/HE_2023_Missing-the-Mark-Wildfire.pdf
20. gacc.nifc.gov, accessed April 30, 2025, https://gacc.nifc.gov/gbcc/dispatch/wy-tdc/home/sites/default/files/site-files/fuels%20management.pdf
21. Beyond the Burn: Harvesting Dead Wood to Reduce Wildfires - FAU, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fau.edu/newsdesk/articles/dead-wood-wildfires-study.php
22. Lessons on wildfire resilience from a 4,000-acre forest lab - Berkeley News, accessed April 30, 2025, https://news.berkeley.edu/2022/02/01/lessons-on-wildfire-resilience-from-a-4000-acre-forest-lab/
23. Fuel Reduction Guide for Sierra Nevada Forest Landowners University of California Cooperative Extension, accessed April 30, 2025, https://cecentralsierra.ucanr.edu/files/88262.pdf
24. New Perspectives on Wildfire Management in Mixed Ownership Landscapes, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.kswild.org/conservation-efforts/2019/7/31/fire-management
25. Accounting for a Decade of Headwater Forest Management, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.ppic.org/blog/accounting-for-a-decade-of-headwater-forest-management/
26. Examining the effect of diverse management strategies on landscape scale patterns of forest structure in Pennsylvania using novel remote sensing

techniques | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/277679656_Examining_the_effect_of_diverse_management_strategies_on_landscape_scale_patterns_of_forest_structure_in_Pennsylvania_using_novel_remote_sensing_techniques

27. Ownership Patterns Drive Multi-Scale Forest Structure Patterns across a Forested Region in Southern Coastal Oregon, USA - MDPI, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/1999-4907/12/1/47
28. How Forest Management Prevents Forest Fires | Rayonier Stories, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.rayonier.com/stories/how-forest-management-prevents-forest-fires/
29. LA wildfires: How can forest management mitigate the impact? | Context by TRF, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.context.news/climate-risks/la-wildfires-how-can-forest-management-mitigate-the-impact
30. Resilient Forest Management in Fire Prone Areas ⟶ Scenario - Prism ⟶ Sustainability Directory, accessed April 30, 2025, https://prism.sustainability-directory.com/scenario/resilient-forest-management-in-fire-prone-areas/
31. Defensible Space - Cal Fire - CA.gov, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fire.ca.gov/dspace
32. How To Create Defensible Space for Wildfire Safety | CAL FIRE, accessed April 30, 2025, https://readyforwildfire.org/prepare-for-wildfire/defensible-space/
33. Preparing homes for wildfire - NFPA, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.nfpa.org/education-and-research/wildfire/preparing-homes-for-wildfire
34. Restoring and Maintaining Forest Ecosystem Health and Wildfire Resilience - California Natural Resources Agency, accessed April 30, 2025, https://resources.ca.gov/Initiatives/Forest-Stewardship

35. Understanding the new California wildfire rating requirements: Best practices for complying with Regulation 2644.9 and the impact on insurers - Milliman, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.milliman.com/en/insight/understanding-new-california-wildfire-ratings-requirements
36. Community Wildfire Mitigation Best Practices Toolbox - Coalitions & Collaboratives, accessed April 30, 2025, https://co-co.org/community-wildfire-mitigation-best-practices-toolbox/
37. Building Wildfire Resilience: A Land Use Toolbox for County Leaders, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.naco.org/resources/building-wildfire-resilience
38. How risk management can prevent future wildfire disasters in the wildland-urban interface, accessed April 30, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3896199/
39. Taking an "All-Lands Approach to Managing Wildfire Across Diverse Forest Ownerships, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fs.usda.gov/pnw/sciencef/scifi237.pdf
40. Fire-Smart Landscaping For Enhanced Wildfire Safety | CAL FIRE, accessed April 30, 2025, https://readyforwildfire.org/prepare-for-wildfire/fire-smart-landscaping/
41. How the West Fights Fire with Fire - The Nature Conservancy, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.nature.org/en-us/what-we-do/our-priorities/protect-water-and-land/land-and-water-stories/western-fire/
42. Ojai Valley's Community Supported Grazing Program, accessed April 30, 2025, https://firesafeojai.org/project/community-supported-grazing-program/
43. Hazardous Fuel Management - Wildfire Risk to Communities, accessed April 30, 2025, https://wildfirerisk.org/reduce-risk/fuel-treatments/
44. Grassland Wildfire | Colorado State Forest Service, accessed April 30, 2025, https://csfs.colostate.edu/wildfire-mitigation/grasslands/

45. Reducing Hazardous Fuels on Nonindustrial Private Forests: Factors Influencing Landowner Decisions, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fs.usda.gov/pnw/pubs/journals/pnw_2011_fischer001.pdf
46. Planning and Permitting Forest Fuel-Reduction Projects on Private Lands in California - ANR Catalog, accessed April 30, 2025, https://anrcatalog.ucanr.edu/pdf/8716.pdf
47. USDA Forest Service and Private Forest Owners Forge Landmark Agreement to Combat Wildfire Crisis Through Enhanced Fuel Break Coordination, accessed April 30, 2025, https://nafoalliance.org/fuel_break_mou/
48. How state-to-landowner assistance helps reduce wildfire risk, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.stateforesters.org/2021/05/24/how-state-to-landowner-assistance-helps-reduce-wildfire-risk/
49. Twenty-year study confirms California forests are healthier when ..., accessed April 30, 2025, https://news.berkeley.edu/2023/12/12/twenty-year-study-confirms-california-forests-are-healthier-when-burned-or-thinned/
50. U.S. Forest Service Public-Private Partnership Strategy, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/stelprd3819576.pdf
51. bwsr.state.mn.us, accessed April 30, 2025, https://bwsr.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/2021-10/Snapshots-story-4-November-2021-PFM_1.pdf
52. Public-Private Collaboration Seeks to Lower Wildfire Risks, accessed April 30, 2025, https://tmwa.com/article/public-private-collaboration-seeks-to-lower-wildfire-risks/
53. Public–Private Partnership as a Form of Ensuring Sustainable Development of the Forest Management Sphere - ResearchGate, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/365182455_Public-Private_Partnership_

as_a_Form_of_Ensuring_Sustainable_Development_of_the_Forest_Management_Sphere

54. Public–Private Partnership as a Form of Ensuring Sustainable Development of the Forest Management Sphere - MDPI, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3387/12/4/156
55. Restoring Brazil's Public Lands: Exploring Public-Private Partnership Options - CPI, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.climatepolicyinitiative.org/publication/restoring-brazils-public-lands-exploring-public-private-partnership-options/
56. Does forestry public-private partnership promote the development of China's forestry economy? - Frontiers, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/environmental-science/articles/10.3389/fenvs.2023.1135035/full
57. Creating Public-Private Partnerships in Intensive Forest Management, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fao.org/4/xii/0532-c1.htm
58. Public-Private Partnership for Sustainable Forest Management | Department of Economic and Social Affairs, accessed April 30, 2025, https://sdgs.un.org/partnerships/public-private-partnership-sustainable-forest-management
59. Science Synthesis to Support Socioecological Resilience in the Sierra Nevada and Southern Cascade Range - National Forest Foundation, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.nationalforests.org/assets/pdfs/LakeFrank-Handout-2.pdf
60. Managing Wildfire Risk in Fire-Prone Landscapes: How Are Private Landowners Contributing? - USDA Forest Service, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fs.usda.gov/pnw/sciencef/scifi154.pdf
61. Implementing Landscape Scale Conservation across Organizational Boundaries - USDA Forest Service, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fs.usda.gov/nrs/pubs/jrnl/2018/nrs_2018_floress_003.pdf
62. Institutions That Influence Cross-Boundary Forest Management: Four Cases of

Shared Stewardship in Practice | Journal of Forestry | Oxford Academic, accessed April 30, 2025, https://academic.oup.com/jof/article/121/5-6/432/7220699

63. Constraints on Mechanical Fuel Reduction Treatments in United States Forest Service Wildfire Crisis Strategy Priority Landscapes | Journal of Forestry | Oxford Academic, accessed April 30, 2025, https://academic.oup.com/jof/article/122/4/335/7670844
64. Collaborative Wildfire Risk Reduction Program | US Forest Service, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fs.usda.gov/managing-land/wildfire-crisis/collaborative-wildfire-risk-reduction
65. Collective action for managing wildfire risk across boundaries in forest and range landscapes: lessons from case studies in the western United States - CSIRO PUBLISHING | International Journal of Wildland Fire, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.publish.csiro.au/wf/wf21168
66. co-co.org, accessed April 30, 2025, https://co-co.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/pnw707chapter11casestudies.pdf
67. Managing Family Forests Is Key to Managing Wildfire - Public Policy Institute of California, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.ppic.org/blog/managing-family-forests-is-key-to-managing-wildfire/
68. Forest Management Handbook for Small Parcel Landowners, accessed April 30, 2025, https://ucanr.edu/blog/forest-research-and-outreach/article/forest-management-handbook-small-parcel-landowners
69. Forest Management Handbook for Small-Parcel Landowners in the Sierra Nevada and Southern Cascade Range, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fs.usda.gov/psw/publications/documents/psw_inf001/psw_inf001.pdf
70. U.S. Forest Service Releases Handbook for Small Parcel Landowners, accessed April 30, 2025, https://wildfiretaskforce.org/forest-service-releases-handbook-for-small-parcel-l

andowners/

71. Full article: Reciprocal relationships between forest management and regional landscape structures: applying concepts from land system science to private forest management - Taylor & Francis Online, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/1747423X.2019.1607914
72. Wildfire Risk Assessment for Strategic Forest Management in the Southern United States: A Bayesian Network Modeling Approach - MDPI, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2073-445X/12/12/2172
73. Addressing Wildfire Risk in Forest Management Planning with Multiple Criteria Decision Making Methods - MDPI, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/9/2/298
74. Promoting Landscape-Level Forest Management in Fire-Prone Areas: Delegate Management to a Multi-Owner Collaborative, Rent the Land, or Just Sell It? - MDPI, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/1999-4907/13/1/22
75. Modeling the Risk Reduction Benefit of Forest Management Using a ..., accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fs.usda.gov/psw/publications/jwlong/psw_2022_long004_evans.pdf
76. Parcel-Level Risk Affects Wildfire Outcomes: Insights from Pre-Fire Rapid Assessment Data for Homes Destroyed in, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fs.usda.gov/rm/pubs_journals/2022/rmrs_2022_meldrum_j001.pdf
77. Marshall Fire Mitigation Assessment Team: Best Practices for Wildfire- Resilient Subdivision Planning - FEMA, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/documents/fema_marshall-fire-mat-best-practices-wildfire-resilient-subdivision-planning.pdf
78. Prescribed Fire | CAL FIRE - CA.gov, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fire.ca.gov/what-we-do/natural-resource-management/prescribed-fire
79. Prescribed Fire on Private Lands - DNRC, accessed April 30, 2025, https://dnrc.mt.gov/MT-Forest-Info/Fire-and-Your-Forest/Images/Rx_PrivateLand_

Handout.pdf
80. Burn Back Better - PERC, accessed April 30, 2025, https://perc.org/2023/01/10/burn-back-better/
81. Subpart J - Prescribed Burning - USDA, accessed April 30, 2025, https://directives.nrcs.usda.gov/sites/default/files2/1712930391/33924.pdf
82. Process to conduct a prescribed burn by landowner Private, state and... - ResearchGate, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Process-to-conduct-a-prescribed-burn-by-landowner-Private-state-and-federal-landowners_fig1_338703572
83. PRESCRIBED BURNING ASSOCIATIONS: LANDOWNERS EFFECTIVELY APPLYING FIRE TO THE LAND - Tall Timbers, accessed April 30, 2025, https://talltimbers.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/44-Weir2010_op.pdf
84. Prescribed Fire Basics - OSU Extension Service - Oregon State University, accessed April 30, 2025, https://extension.oregonstate.edu/collection/prescribed-fire-basics
85. Manage Forests and Land | Prescribed Fires, accessed April 30, 2025, https://tfsweb.tamu.edu/prescribedburns/
86. Landowner's Guide: Prescribed Burning - Department of Natural Resources, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.dnr.state.mi.us/publications/pdfs/huntingwildlifehabitat/landowners_guide/habitat_mgmt/grassland/Prescribed_Burning.htm
87. Planning for and Implementing Prescribed Fire in Fire-Dependent Forests - USDA Forest Service, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/fseprd624550.pdf
88. Community Preparedness and Involvement | UC Agriculture and ..., accessed April 30, 2025, https://ucanr.edu/sites/fire/Preparedness/Community/
89. 4 Tips for Conducting Controlled Burns on Private Property from a Burn Boss, accessed April 30, 2025, https://fireadaptednetwork.org/4-tips-for-conducting-controlled-burns-on-priva

te-property-from-a-seasoned-burn-boss/

90. Little Fires: Landowners Learn to Burn | UC Davis, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.ucdavis.edu/climate/news/little-fires-landowners-learn-to-burn
91. Empowering private landowners to prevent wildfires - Stanford Report, accessed April 30, 2025, https://news.stanford.edu/stories/2022/09/empowering-private-landowners-prevent-wildfires
92. fuel treatment for forest resilience and climate mitigation: a critical review for coniferous forests - California Energy Commission, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-12/Forests_CCCA4-CNRA-2018-017_ada.pdf
93. Forest Restoration and Fuels Reduction: Convergent or Divergent? - Northwest Fire Science Consortium, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.nwfirescience.org/sites/default/files/publications/biaa134.pdf
94. Effects of Forest Fuel-Reduction Treatments in the United States - Oxford Academic, accessed April 30, 2025, https://academic.oup.com/bioscience/article/62/6/549/249143
95. Fuel treatments alter the effects of wildfire in a mixed-evergreen forest, Oregon, USA, accessed April 30, 2025, https://cdnsciencepub.com/doi/10.1139/x05-206
96. Prescribed Burning and Mechanical Thinning Pose Little Risk to Forest Ecology - USDA, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.usda.gov/about-usda/news/blog/prescribed-burning-and-mechanical-thinning-pose-little-risk-forest-ecology
97. Prescribed Fire: A Tool for Landowners, Large and Small - Texas A&M AgriLife, accessed April 30, 2025, https://agrilife.org/westtexasrangelands/files/2023/03/prescribed-fire-a-tool-for-landowners-large-and-small.pdf
98. Wildfire Mitigation Strategies Return to Their Roots - Team Rubicon, accessed April 30, 2025,

https://teamrubiconusa.org/news-and-stories/wildfire-mitigation-strategies-return-to-their-roots/

99. Solutions | American Forest Resource Council, accessed April 30, 2025, https://amforest.org/solutions/

100. Reduce wildfire risk and improve fire response: Coordinating planning, funding and implementation | OSU Extension Service, accessed April 30, 2025, https://extension.oregonstate.edu/forests/fire/reduce-wildfire-risk-improve-fire-response-coordinating-planning-funding

101. Forest restoration and fuels reduction: Convergent or divergent?, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fs.usda.gov/rm/pubs_journals/2021/rmrs_2021_stephens_s001.pdf

102. Likelihood of implementing fuel reduction treatments on nonindustrial private forest lands, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/352376463_Likelihood_of_implementing_fuel_reduction_treatments_on_nonindustrial_private_forest_lands

103. 5 Innovations Leading the Fight Against Wildfires - Patsnap, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.patsnap.com/resources/blog/5-innovations-leading-the-fight-against-wildfires/

104. Implementation of fuel reduction treatments on nonindustrial private forests in Mississippi - Scholars Junction, accessed April 30, 2025, https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3629&context=td

105. Likelihood of implementing fuel reduction treatments on nonindustrial private forest lands, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.publish.csiro.au/wf/WF20114

106. Federal, State Orders Aim to Speed Forest Management, Reduce Wildfire Risk, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.acwa.com/news/federal-state-orders-aim-to-speed-forest-management-reduce-wildfire-risk/

107. With growing fire risk, Governor Newsom proclaims state of emergency to fast-track critical wildfire prevention projects statewide, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.gov.ca.gov/2025/03/01/with-growing-fire-risk-governor-newsom-proclaims-state-of-emergency-to-fast-track-critical-wildfire-prevention-projects-statewide/
108. Board of Forestry and Fire Protection, accessed April 30, 2025, https://calfire-umb05.azurewebsites.net/
109. California's Wildfire Crisis: Balancing Accountability, Forest Management, and Climate Resilience - - Farmonaut, accessed April 30, 2025, https://farmonaut.com/usa/californias-wildfire-crisis-balancing-accountability-forest-management-and-climate-resilience
110. Fuels Reduction Guide - Final 2021 - Board of Forestry and Fire Protection, accessed April 30, 2025, https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/hw3lmvd2/7-cal-fire-fuels-reduction-guide-final-2021_ada.pdf
111. Fuel Reduction Guide for Sierra Nevada Forest Landowners University of California Cooperative Extension, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.plumasfiresafe.org/uploads/8/1/8/4/81849812/fuel_reduction_guide_for_sierra_nevada_forest_landowners.pdf
112. Guide to Planning and Permitting Forest Fuel-Reduction Projects on CA Private Lands, accessed April 30, 2025, https://wildfiretaskforce.org/planning-and-permitting-forest-fuel-reduction-projects-on-private-lands-in-california/
113. Planning and Permitting Forest Fuel-Reduction Projects on Private Lands in CA, accessed April 30, 2025, https://anrcatalog.ucanr.edu/Details.aspx?itemNo=8716
114. Guidance Document for Permitting and Planning Fuel Reduction on Private Lands, accessed April 30, 2025, https://forests.berkeley.edu/news/2022/07/guidance-document-for-permitting-a

nd-planning-fuel-reduction-on-private-lands

115. California's Wildfire and Forest Resilience Action Plan: Recommendations of the Governor's Forest Management Task Force, accessed April 30, 2025, https://wildfiretaskforce.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/californiawildfireandforestresilienceactionplan.pdf

116. Landowners Assistance: Helping You Prevent Wildfires | CAL FIRE, accessed April 30, 2025, https://readyforwildfire.org/prevent-wildfire/landowners-assistance/

117. PRIVATE LANDOWNER ASSISTANCE - California Wildfire & Forest Resilience, accessed April 30, 2025, https://wildfiretaskforce.org/about/action-areas/private-landowner-assistance/

118. 8 blazing new technologies that could spark change in wildfire risk reduction, accessed April 30, 2025, https://wmo.int/media/news/8-blazing-new-technologies-could-spark-change-wildfire-risk-reduction

119. OroraTech: Detect, respond, protect. The leading wildfire management platform globally. – Orora Tech, accessed April 30, 2025, https://ororatech.com/

120. Biden-Harris Administration Advances Early Wildfire Detection by Expanding Use of Satellites as Part of Investing in America agenda - USDA, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.usda.gov/about-usda/news/press-releases/2024/07/23/biden-harris-administration-advances-early-wildfire-detection-expanding-use-satellites-part

121. Best Wildfire Detection Tools: Top Solutions for Safety - FlyPix AI, accessed April 30, 2025, https://flypix.ai/blog/wildfire-detection-tools/

122. Advanced Wildfire Detection: AI, Sensors, and Prevention, accessed April 30, 2025, https://flypix.ai/blog/wildfire-detection/

123. Hazard Mapping System Fire and Smoke Product - NOAA OSPO, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.ospo.noaa.gov/products/land/hms.html

124. Wildfire Detection Cameras & the Use of Thermal Imaging - MoviTHERM,

accessed April 30, 2025, https://movitherm.com/blog/ultimate-guide-to-wildfire-detection-cameras/

125. Wildfire Detection Sensors to Catch Fires Earlier | WFCA, accessed April 30, 2025, https://wfca.com/wildfire-articles/wildfire-detection-sensors/

126. The Vital Need for Early Wildfire Detection: Solving with Nature Tech | Terraformation Blog, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.terraformation.com/blog/the-vital-need-for-early-wildfire-detection-solving-with-nature-tech

127. Dryad Networks: Ultra Early Wildfire Detection, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.dryad.net/

128. Early Forest Fire Detection Using Sensors - Bosch Global, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.bosch.com/stories/early-forest-fire-detection-sensors/

129. Artificial intelligence detects fires early, protecting people and infrastructure | ASCE, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.asce.org/publications-and-news/civil-engineering-source/article/2024/11/14/artificial-intelligence-detects-fires-early-protecting-people-infrastructure

130. AI Wildfire Detection System to save lives and cut loss | RoboticsCats, accessed April 30, 2025, https://roboticscats.com/

131. Automatic Smoke and Wildfire Detection System by SmokeD, accessed April 30, 2025, https://smokedsystem.com/

132. Pano AI: Advanced Wildfire + Bushfire Detection Technology, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.pano.ai/

133. Insight Robotics Wildfire Detection System, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.insightrobotics.com/en/services/wildfire-detection-system/

134. Resilient and Fire-Safe Communities - California Wildfire & Forest Resilience, accessed April 30, 2025, https://wildfiretaskforce.org/the-northern-california-region/resilient-and-fire-safe-communities/

135. cafiresafecouncil.org, accessed April 30, 2025, https://cafiresafecouncil.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/2020-CAFSC_FSC-Handbook_FINAL-online.pdf
136. Building Firewise Communities: Wildfire Preparedness | CAL FIRE, accessed April 30, 2025, https://readyforwildfire.org/prepare-for-wildfire/firewise-communities/
137. Oregon Department of Forestry : Grants & incentives : About ODF, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.oregon.gov/odf/aboutodf/pages/grantsincentives.aspx
138. Forest Stewardship | CAL FIRE, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fire.ca.gov/what-we-do/natural-resource-management/wildfire-resilience/forest-stewardship
139. Wildfire Resilience - Cal Fire - CA.gov, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fire.ca.gov/what-we-do/natural-resource-management/wildfire-resilience
140. Wildfire Preparedness - UC Agriculture and Natural Resources, accessed April 30, 2025, https://ucanr.edu/statewide-program/uc-anr-fire-network/wildfire-preparedness
141. UC ANR Provides a One-Stop-Shop for Continuing Forestry Education Through 'California Tree School', accessed April 30, 2025, https://wildfiretaskforce.org/ucanr-provides-a-one-stop-shop-for-continuing-forestry-education-through-california-tree-school/
142. Prevention - Cal Fire - CA.gov, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fire.ca.gov/prevention
143. Vegetation Management Program | CAL FIRE - CA.gov, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fire.ca.gov/what-we-do/natural-resource-management/vegetation-management-program
144. Funding - Wildfire Risk to Communities, accessed April 30, 2025, https://wildfirerisk.org/reduce-risk/funding/

145. Financial Assistance for Wildfire Resilience and Forest Health - WA - DNR, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.dnr.wa.gov/cost-share
146. Oregon Department of Forestry : Small Forestland Grant Program, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.oregon.gov/odf/pages/small-forestland-grant-program.aspx
147. Wildfire Mitigation Deduction - Colorado General Assembly |, accessed April 30, 2025, https://leg.colorado.gov/sites/default/files/2023-te4_wildfire_mitigation.pdf
148. Innovative climate insurance in California gives landowners incentives to reduce wildfire risks - ImpactAlpha, accessed April 30, 2025, https://impactalpha.com/innovative-climate-insurance-in-california-gives-landowners-incentives-to-reduce-wildfire-risks/
149. Community Wildfire Defense Grants - Funded Proposals | US Forest Service, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.fs.usda.gov/managing-land/fire/grants/cwdg/funded-proposals
150. Nation's leading wildfire management groups name 2024 Wildfire Mitigation Awardees, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.stateforesters.org/newsroom/nations-leading-wildfire-management-groups-name-2024-wildfire-mitigation-awardees/
151. Equity in resilience: a case study of community resilience to wildfire ..., accessed April 30, 2025, https://ecologyandsociety.org/vol30/iss1/art20/
152. Rapid Wildfire Detection : Lindsey FireSense – FIREBird, accessed April 30, 2025, https://lindsey-firesense.com/